

# **The Radioactive Drug Research Committee (RDRC): A 2005 Update**

**Society of Nuclear Medicine  
Toronto, Canada  
June 19 - 22, 2004**

**O H Suleiman, R Fejka, M Walsh  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research  
Office of New Drugs**



# **FDA Public Meeting “Radioactive Drugs for Certain Research Uses”**

**(November 16, 2004)**

- 1. Pharmacological Dose Limits**
- 2. Radiation Dose Limits**
- 3. Pediatric Research Subjects**
- 4. Quality and Purity of Radiopharmaceuticals**
- 5. RDRC Membership & Administrative Issues**

**For transcripts and meeting presentations go to: [WWW.FDA.GOV](http://WWW.FDA.GOV),  
and search on “RDRC November 16”**

# Human Research with an Investigational New Drug application (IND) versus RDRC

# **RDRC research is:**

- **Basic science for advancing knowledge, such as biodistribution of radioactive drug (including kinetics, distribution, localization, physiology, or biochemistry).**
- **Not intended...**
  - **for immediate therapeutic or diagnostic benefit or**
  - **to determine the safety or effectiveness of a drug in humans (requires an IND)**

# **RDRC Radiation Dose Limits**

# Why do we need to revisit radiation dose limits?

- Based on 1975 occupational dose limits
- Evolving Metrics
- New radiation risk concepts - E
- New scientific data
- New pediatric human research regulations

E, effective dose

# RDRC Radiation Dose Limits\*

<u>Organ or System</u>	<u>Single Dose</u>	<u>Annual and Total Dose</u>
<b>Whole body</b>	0.03 Sv (3 Rem)	0.05 Sv (5Rem)
<b>Active blood-forming organs</b>	0.03 Sv (3 Rem)	0.05 Sv (5 Rem)
<b>Lens of the eye</b>	0.03 Sv (3 Rem)	0.05 Sv (5 Rem)
<b>Gonads</b>	0.03 Sv (3 Rem)	0.05 Sv (5 Rem)
<b>Other organs</b>	0.05 Sv (5 Rem)	0.15 Sv (15 Rem)

For research subjects under 18 years of age at his last birthday, the radiation dose does not exceed 10 percent of adult dose.

Radiation doses from x-ray procedures that are part of the research study shall also be included.

\*21 CFR 361.1 (b) (3)

# Rationale for adopting Occupational Dose Limits

- “An informed potential research subject is able to make a decision...and assume a risk in the same sense as does a radiation worker.”
- “...that the radiation dose, even though it is within the limit, should be the smallest amount needed to carry out the study”\* (ALARA – as low as reasonable achievable)

\*Federal Register 31298 Volume 40 Number 144 (July 25, 1975)



# Evolving Metrics

- 1975 RDRC Dose limits- rem
- 1977 **ICRP\*** promulgates effective dose equivalent, H.
- 1980's rad to Gray; rem to Sievert; mCi to MBq.
- 1991 **NRC\*\*** adopts H for radiation dose
- 1991 **ICRP** replaces H with effective dose, E.
- 1993 **NCRP\*\*\*** adopts E.
- 2004 **ICRP** proposes modification of E.

**\*International Commission on Radiological Protection**

**\*\*Nuclear Regulatory Commission**

**\*\*\* National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements**

# Effective dose (E): A homogenized single metric of radiation risk

**Risk based metric, relating partial body irradiations (individual organ or tissue, limited x-ray field) to uniform whole body irradiation.**

**The effective dose (E) is the sum of the weighted equivalent doses in all the tissues and organs of the body.**

$$E = \sum_T w_T H_T$$

$w_T$  is the weighting factor for tissue T, and  
 $H_T$  is the individual tissue or organ dose for tissue T

**\*International Commission on Radiological Protection  
ICRP Report 60, (1991)**

# Effective Dose (E)

Tissue Weighting Factors ( $w_t$ )

Organ (Tissue)	ICRP 26	ICRP 60	ICRP-DRAFT
	1977	1991	2004
Gonads	0.25	0.20	0.05
Breast	0.15	0.05	0.12
Red BM, lung	0.12	0.12	0.12
Thyroid	0.03	0.05	0.05
Bone surfaces	0.03	0.01	0.01
Colon, stomach	NC	0.12	0.12
Bladder, liver, esophagus	NC	0.05	0.05
Skin	NC	0.01	0.01
Salivary glands, brain	NC	NC	0.01
Remainder	0.30	0.05	0.10

# Adult Effective dose (E)

<u>Radiation Source</u>	<u>Effective Dose (E)</u>	<u>Equivalent to # of chest x-rays</u>	<u>Equivalent time</u>	<u>Lifetime* Cancer Mortality Risk</u>
<b>Background</b>				
U.S. - 1 year	3 mSv	150	1 year	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<b>Medical</b>				
Chest x-ray	0.02 mSv	1	2.4 days	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Upper GI fl	3 mSv	150	1 year	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
CT- abdomen	10 mSv	500	3.3 years	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Tc-99m-lung perf	1 mSv	50	4 months	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Tc-99m-bone	4 mSv	200	1.3 years	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
PET-FDG	10 mSv	500	3.3 years	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<b>Regulatory Limits</b>				
Individual Gen pop	1 mSv	50	4 months	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Worker	50 mSv	2500	16.7 years	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Emergency Worker	500 mSv	25,000	167 years	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$
<b>RDRC Limits</b>				
Whole body	50 mSv	2500	16.7 years	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
RBM** (50 x .12) =	6 mSv	300	2.0 years	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$

\*ICRP risk coefficients

\*\*RBM = Red Bone marrow;  $(H_{\text{RBM}} \times w_t) = E$

## We asked...

- Are current dose limits for adults still appropriate for research conducted under 361.1 ?
- If not, what dose limits are appropriate?
- Should there be different dose limits for different adult age groups?

# Pediatric Effective Dose (E)

<u>Radiation Source</u>	<u>Effective Dose (E)</u>	<u>Equivalent to # of chest x-rays</u>	<u>Equivalent time</u>	<u>Lifetime* cancer Mortality Risk</u>
U.S. - 1 year	3 mSv	<b>Background</b> 150	1 year	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Chest X-ray -child	0.02 mSv	<b>Medical</b> 1	2.4 days	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
PET FDG adult**	8 mSv	400	2.67 years	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
PET 10year old**	6.4 mSv	320	2.13 years	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
PET 5 year old**	5.6 mSv	280	1.87 years	$2.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<b>Regulatory Limits</b>				
Individual Gen pop	1 mSv	50	4 months	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$
<b>Pediatric RDRC Limits</b>				
Whole body	5 mSv	250	1.67 years	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<b>RBM*** (5 x .12) =</b>	<b>0.6 mSv</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>2.4 months</b>	<b><math>3.0 \cdot 10^{-5}</math></b>

\*ICRP risk coefficients

\*\*Stabin MG, Gelfand MJ. Q J Nuclear Med  
1998;42:93-112.

\*\*\*RBM = Red Bone marrow;  $(H_{\text{RBM}} \times w_t) = E$

# Pediatric ethics and risks

- **Pediatric Ethics\* – 21 CFR Part 50 Protection of Human Subjects  
Subpart D Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations**
- **Higher risk for children**  
“..., a new finding is that relative risks decline with increasing attained age, as well as being highest for those exposed as children as noted previously.”\*\*
- **Noncancer risk**  
“The evidence for radiation effects on noncancer mortality remains strong, with risks elevated by about 14% per sievert during the last 30 years of follow-up. Statistically significant increases are seen for heart disease, digestive diseases, and respiratory diseases.”\*\*
- **Work in progress**  
“People exposed prior to age 20 comprise the largest portion (41%) of the cohort and most of these are still alive..”; “Because our risk models suggest that excess rates (particularly for cancer) are highest for those exposed as children, we anticipate that 60 to 70% of the radiation-associated deaths in the LSS cohort have yet to occur.”\*\*

\*66 FR 20598, April 24, 2001.

\*\*Preston et al. Studies of Mortality of Atomic Bomb Survivors Report 13: Solid Cancer and Noncancer Mortality: 1950-1997. Radiation Research 160, 381-407 (2003)

## We asked...

- Does 361.1 provide adequate safeguards for pediatric subjects? If yes...
- Do current radiation dose limits for pediatric subjects pose a significant risk?
- If not, what dose limits would be appropriate to ensure no significant risk?
- Should there be different dose limits for different pediatric age groups?



# What's else has been happening?

- RDRC Web site - go to FDA.GOV, search on “RDRC web site”
- New Forms 2914 and 2915
- Pending Draft Guidance
- Consider New/Changes Regulations for 21 CFR 361.1
- SNM Sessions – 2004, 2005
- DIA Sessions – 2005

# New Initiatives

- FDA's Critical Path Initiative to develop new drugs, inherently dependent on imaging.
- Microdosing – “Human Phase 0” trials – similar to RDRC research but may require an IND.
- Exploratory IND – Recently issued draft guidance (FR 19764 April 14, 2005) for comment (deadline of mid July, 2005). Allows screening of candidate drugs using microdose quantities with limited preclinical studies.

# In closing

- Work in progress – go to [FDA.GOV](http://FDA.GOV), search on keywords
- **Public comment periods still open for RDRC (July 11,2005) and Exploratory IND (July 13, 2005).**
- **FDA Session: Monday 8:00 – 9:30 AM (Room 714 A/B)**

**The Future  
FDA Campus @ White Oak,  
Silver Spring, Maryland**



# Most frequently reported radionuclides

In 2003, 84 FDA approved RDRC's conducted  
284 studies with 2797 human subjects

Imaging nuclides		Non-imaging nuclides
<b>Positron</b> (77.1%)	<b>Gamma</b> (4.5%)	<b>Beta</b> (18.4%)
<b>C-11</b> (36.6%)	<b>Tc-99m</b> (2.5%)	<b>H-3</b> (12.4%)
<b>F-18</b> (19.0%)	<b>I-123</b> (1.3%)	<b>C-14</b> (4.0%)
<b>O-15</b> (17.5%)		<b>Fe-59</b> (0.7%)
<b>N-13</b> (2.6%)		<b>Ca-45</b> (0.3%)
<b>Cu-60</b> (0.7%)	<b>I-131</b> (0.3%)	<b>Fe-55</b> (0.3%)
<b>F-17</b> (0.5%)	<b>Xe-133</b> (0.3%)	<b>I-125</b> (0.3%)
<b>Tc-94m</b> (0.2%)	<b>In-111</b> (0.2%)	<b>Ca-47</b> (0.2%)
<b>"Gold" &lt; 1.0 %</b>	<b>"White" &gt; 1.0 %</b>	<b>Zn-65</b> (0.2%)

Over 120 different compounds were labeled

# Three ways to study radioactive drugs in human subjects:

- 21 CFR 312 Investigational New Drug Application (IND)
- 21 CFR 312.2 Exempt from IND requirements
- 21 CFR 361 Prescription Drugs For Human Use Generally Recognized as Safe and Effective and not Misbranded: Drugs Used in Research
  - 361.1 Radioactive drugs for certain research uses

# RDRC Radiation Experience\*

- Organ doses are the limiting constraint, not whole body limits.
- Reports suggest general compliance with radiation dose limits.

\* Review of RDRC Annual reports